

Folia Biologica (Kraków)

Review process

The first evaluation of the manuscript is made by the Editor-in-Chief and after preliminary acceptance the manuscript is sent to two external referees for evaluation of the originality and data interpretations. A “single-blind” procedure is ascertained, which means that the identity of the reviewers is not known to the author(s). Efforts are taken to keep the evaluation process completed in one month.

Access to evaluation questionnaire is provided to reviewers via the Editorial System after registration. Declaration regarding potential conflict of interests is required. Manuscript evaluation takes into account the following criteria: novelty; importance of the results; methods and data analysis quality; presentation of the results; discussion quality; relevance of the references. External evaluation concludes in one of the four suggestions for the editors: accept manuscript without changes; minor revision or major revision (with repeated review) required; reject manuscript.

Referees

Authors may suggest potential reviewers, who are not in the conflict of interests with the authors. Any reviewers the authors wish to exclude may be named, along with the reason for exclusion. In case of contradictory opinions additional reviewers are chosen. While all suggestions will be considered, the final choice of reviewers as well as the final decision on publication of the manuscript rests with the editors of the journal.